# A Code Motion Technique for Scheduling Bottleneck Resources

#### **Efi Fogel**

Immersia Ltd. Tel-Aviv, Israel SGI, Mountain View, California *efi@immersia.com*

#### **James C. Dehnert**

SGI, Mountain View, California *dehnert@sgi.com*



## *Introduction Introduction*

- Motivation
- Problem Statement
- Background
- Transformation
- Example
- Conclusions

## *Motivation Motivation*



### Code Motion that increases ILP

 $\overline{O_0: V_1 = P_0 + 1}$  $Q_1: V_2 = V_1 * 2$ O2:  $dm = V_2 + 3$  $\overline{O_3}$ :  $\overline{V_4} = \overline{dtn * 4}$  $Q_4$ :  $dm = P_1 * 5$ O5:  $V_6 = dtn + 6$  $\text{O}6$ :  $\text{V}7 = \text{V}6 * 7$  $Q_7$ :  $V_8 = V_7 + 8$ 

 $Q_4$ :  $dm = P_1 * 5$ O5:  $V_6 = dtn + 6$  $\text{O6:}\ \text{V7} = \text{V6} * 7$  $Q_7$ :  $V_8 = V_7 + 8$  $\overline{O_0}$ :  $V_1 = P_0 + 1$  $Q_1$ :  $V_2 = V_1 * 2$  $Q_2: dtn = V_2 + 3$ O<sub>3</sub>:  $V_4 = dtn * 4$ 

## *Motivation Motivation*



### Code Motion that increases ILP

 $\overline{O_0}$ :  $\overline{V_1} = P_0 + 1$  $Q_1: V_2 = V_1 * 2$ O<sub>2</sub>:  $dm = V_2 + 3$ O<sub>3</sub>:  $V_4 = dtn * 4$ O<sub>4</sub>:  $dm = P_1 * 5$ O<sub>5</sub>:  $V_6 = dtn + 6$  $\text{O6:}\ \text{V7} = \text{V6} * 7$  $\overline{O7: V8} = \overline{V7} + \overline{8}$ 



Yellow arcs: input dependences; Red arcs: anti-dependences

## *Motivation Motivation*

### Code Motion that increases ILP



 $Q_4$ :  $dm = P_1 * 5$ O<sub>5</sub>:  $V_6 = dtn + 6$  $\overline{O_6: V_7} = \overline{V_6 * 7}$ O7:  $V_8 = V_7 + 8$ O<sub>0</sub>:  $V_1 = P_0 + 1$  $Q_1$ :  $V_2 = V_1 * 2$ O<sub>2</sub>:  $dm = V_2 + 3$ O<sub>3</sub>:  $V_4 = dtn * 4$ 

Red arcs: anti-dependences, Yellow arcs: input dependences



## *Problem Statement Problem Statement*



Terms & Definitions

**Temporary Name** - an operand, a pseudo-register, or a literal value.

**Dedicated Temporary Name** - a TN that must be assigned a particular physical register (cannot be renamed).

**Bottleneck Resource** - a DTN.

**Cluster** - a subsequence of operations for a particular live range for a particular bottleneck resource, that has anti-dependences only across its boundaries with respect to the bottleneck resource.

## *Problem Statement Problem Statement*



Find the best order of clusters within a basic block

#### Excluded clusters:

- Associated resource is live into the BB (definitions in predecessor BBs).
- Associated resource is live out of the BB (usages in successor BBs).
- Associated resource is volatile (e.g. output ports to the next processing stage in the GE11).



## The GE11 microprocessor

A special-purpose VLIW SIMD processor.

- The geometry processing units of the SGI Impact<sup>™</sup> and the RealityEngine™ graphics subsystem employ 2 and 8 GE11 processors respectively working in parallel as a MIMD architecture.
- Each GE11 consists of 3 cores working in parallel as a SIMD architecture.
- Each core consists of special CPUs, register files, and local memories.
- The GE11 has several bottleneck resources.



## The GE11 compiler objectives

- Retargetable separation of general purpose and target specific, table driven.
- Efficient generated code high quality instruction scheduler.
- $\bullet$ Global register allocation.
- $\bullet$  Convenient programming - C-like language with special features for close control of machine resources.
- Fast compilation.

## The GE11 compiler architecture

- Front End
- Global live range analysis
- Code expansion
- Scheduling preparation
	- Variable renaming
	- Dead code removal
	- Cluster reordering
	- Critical path analysis
- Scheduling
- Register Allocation
- $\bullet$ Code emission







Previous Work

Unaware of any published approach to this specific problem.

Related problem for non-dedicated temporary names has been widely approached by renaming live ranges prior to scheduling to remove problematic anti-dependences.

# *Transformation Transformation* Critical Path Analysis

**Earliest Start** (*estart*) - A node attribute. The length of the longest path to the root nodes in units of latencies.

**Latest Start** (*lstart*) - A node attribute. The difference between the length of the longest path and the length of the longest path to the leaf nodes in units of latencies.



Yellow arcs: input dependences; Red arcs: anti-dependences Orange text: <*estart*,*lstart*<sup>&</sup>gt;

0,0



Modified Critical Path Analysis

**Earliest Cycle** (*ecycle*) - A node attribute. Like *estart*, but ignoring antidependence arcs between clusters that might be reordered (not volatile, not live-in, not live-out clusters).

**Latest Cycle** (*lcycle*) - A node attribute. Like *lstart*, but ignoring antidependence arcs as above.





Yellow arcs: input dependences; Red arcs: anti-dependences Orange text: <*ecycle*,*lcycle*<sup>&</sup>gt;





The *potential* Function

*earlyDiff* (*arc*) = *ecycle* (*op <sup>1</sup>*) - max(*ecycle* (*defOp <sup>0</sup>*)) *defOp 0* <sup>∈</sup> *defSet* (*op <sup>0</sup>*,*tn* ) *op <sup>0</sup>= tail* (*arc* ) *op <sup>1</sup>= head* (*arc* )

*lateDiff* (*arc*) = min(*lcycle* (*useOp <sup>1</sup>*)) - *lcycle* (*Op 0* ) *useOp 1* <sup>∈</sup> *useSet* (*op <sup>1</sup>*,*tn* )

*potential* (*arc*) = *earlyDiff*(*arc*) + *lateDiff*(*arc* )

*potential* ( *G*) = *potential* (*arc* ) *arc*  <sup>∈</sup> *arcSet*

*Transformation Transformation* The *potential* Function *potential*(*arc*) = *earlyDiff* + *lateDiff*  $= (-2) + (-1) = -3$  $\text{earlyDiff} = \text{ecycle}(D_1) - \text{ecycle}(D_0)$  $= 0 - 2 = -2$  $lateDiff = lcycle(U_1) - lcycle(U_0)$  $= 2 - 3 = -1$  $U_0 = tail(arc)$  $D_1 = head(arc)$ 







Local Inversion - primitive transformation Inversion of the order of two clusters in the DDG.

$$
D00: \text{ Dtn} = op_0();
$$
  
U0 : op\_1(dtn);  
D1 : dtn = op\_2();  
U10: op\_3(dtn);

D1 : **dtn =** op <sup>2</sup>**();** U10: op 3 **(dtn);** D00: **Dtn** = op <sup>0</sup>**();** U0 : op 1 **(dtn);**





Global Inversion - compound transformation The composite effect of a sequence of local inversions.

- No circularity remains in the DDG.
- •Total change in potential of local inversions is positive.





### The Optimization

Operates on input DDG, *G*, and a set of DTNs, *arcSet*.

- Preparation
	- Remove output dependences.
	- Add anti-dependences (complete transitive closure of initial set).
- Optimization
	- Perform Modified Critical Path Analysis
	- Calculate *Potential* ( *G*).
	- Repeatedly attempt global inversion on lowest-potential candidate, using a priority queue.
- Restoration
	- Insert output dependences.
	- Remove redundant anti-dependences.

## *Example Example*





Yellow arcs: input dependences; Red arcs: anti-dependences White text: arc potential; Orange text: <*ecycle*,*lcycle*<sup>&</sup>gt;

# *Conclusions Conclusions*



### Main Results

The provision of an optimization algorithm that exploits instruction level parallelism, where automatic code motion is achieved through graph transformation.

- A very common data structure used -- dependence graph
- • Does not depend on any other component of a compiler in general or scheduler in particular.
- Applicable to most architecture, and is not target specific (except in identifying the bottleneck resources).
- $\bullet$ Eliminates the need for manual code motion (if applicable at all).
- $\bullet$ Used as part of an operational compiler, with up to 2x speedup.
- $\bullet$ Its computational complexity does not create a bottleneck.

# *Conclusions Conclusions*



### Future work

Use a less greedy algorithm. We currently identify the local inversions one at a time (could lead to a dead end).

- Identify all arcs between 2 clusters. Then, invert them at once.
- $\bullet$  Identify optimal partial order on clusters. Then, reorder the clusters at once.

Improve the potential function. We currently assume unlimited parallel resources.

• Take in account other constraints that must be satisfied.